From RTM-notation to ENP-score-notation
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Abstract

This paper discusses some recent developments within a compositional environment called PWGL. Our focus is to present how score information is represented in PWGL. We give some background information concerning the rhythmic notation that was used in PatchWork (a predecessor of PWGL). After this we show how this notation has been expanded so that it allows to generate very detailed scores that can contain besides the basic rhythmic structures also other information such as grace-notes, instrumentation, pitch and expressions.

1 Introduction

PWGL (Laurson and Kuuskankare 2002) is a visual programming language based on Lisp, CLOS and OpenGL. PWGL is tool for computer assisted composition and sound synthesis. PWGL is based on similar concepts than PatchWork (PW, Laurson 1996) such as direct relation to its base languages Lisp and CLOS, musical objects and visual editors. The main difference between PW and PWGL is that the raphic part of the implementation is based now on OpenGL and not on QuickDraw as was the case with PW. OpenGL (Woo et al. 1999) has many attractive features and offers several advantages when compared to QuickDraw (for more details see Laurson and Kuuskankare 2002).

In this text we will concentrate on the way complex musical scores are represented in PWGL. Scores are of primary importance in our system and they can be used in many compositional and analytical applications such as to produce musical material or instrumental music. Scores have been also used to study control in ornament or physical models of musical instruments (see for instance Laurson et al. 2001 and Välimäki et al. 2003).

The Score-editor of PWGL utilizes internally the ENP2.0 music notation package. ENP2.0 (Kuuskankare and Laurson 2002) is based on the former ENP user library of PW (Kuuskankare and Laurson 2001). The Score-editor combines all PW music notation related editors (i.e. chord-editor, chord-sequence-editor, RTM-editor, PolyRTM-editor) into one package. ENP2.0 supports both mensural and non-mensural notation. Also ENP2.0 has been completely rewritten and is now based on OpenGL. The purpose of ENP2.0 is to provide professional notational capabilities, a graphical user interface and powerful object structures for compositional use. As PWGL, ENP2.0 is programmed with LISP and CLOS thus being extendible and open. It also provides full access to the musical structures behind the notation allowing ENP2.0 to be controlled algorithmically. Furthermore, ENP2.0 provides standard and user definable expressions.

Algorithmic control requires a high-level representation of the complex structural information behind the visual front-end of a musical score. To be useful this representation has to fulfill the following criteria. First, it has to be compact so that we are able to handle large scores efficiently. Second, it should be understandable to a human reader so that it could be used directly as input to build scores. Third, it should be easily translated into object structures of the final score, and vice versa, any score should be translatable back to the high-level representation. Finally, it should be extendible in order to meet future improvements and extensions of the current environment.
The RTM-package (Laurson 1996) of PW allowed to generate complex rhythms out of a list representation of beats. Henceforth we will call this list representation RTM-notation. In the current PWGL implementation the RTM-notation representation has been augmented in several ways.

In the following we introduce the PW RTM-notation and discuss its most important features. A ter this we over to the current implementation and show step by step how it can handle scores with increasing complexity. We discuss also an example and end with some concluding remarks.

2 RTM-notation in PW

The section gives a synopsis of the RTM-notation syntax used in PW to represent scores. We start with Lisp lists that are converted to metrical rhythms. Lisp lists are a natural choice as they allow easily to build hierarchical structures needed for our purposes. In the following we show the components of the system and then discuss several examples that can be found in the western notation practice (Read 1980).

2.1 Beat-list

The starting point for a Lisp representation of a beat is a list. This list is called a beat-list and has two components: beat-count and rtm-list. Beat-count is a positive number, usually an integer. rtm-list, in turn, is a list of numbers. The numbers, called rtm-values, are usually positive integers, but can also be negative integers or floats. Let us assume the following example (Figure 1):

Figure 1: Some basic rhythms with their beat-list representations.

Figure 2: A quarter-note and its beat-list representation.

beat-count indicates how many units of the pulse is needed for a beat. The pulse, in turn, is divided into the time signature. Thus, for example, the first quarter-note, the beat-count is 1, the length of the beat in Figure 2 will be one quarter-note.

The rtm-list consists of proportional values and has three properties: (1) the sum of all numbers in the rtm-list, (2) the length of the rtm-list and (3) the values of the distinct numbers. The sum gives the number of units into which the beat must be divided. The sub-unit of the beat equals 1 divided by the sum. Next, the length of the rtm-list determines the number of notes inside a beat. Finally, the rtm-value indicates that the sub-unit is to be assigned to the corresponding note. As the rtm-list in our example consists of only the number 1, we have only one note with a length of a quarter-note.

Figure 3 gives the second beat of Figure 1, with the beat-list (1 (1 1)). The beat lasts for one quarter-note (beat-count = 1). As the sum of the rtm-list elements is 2, the sub-unit is 1/2. The beat contains two notes, both being 1/2 of the length of the beat, i.e. 1/4 * 1/2 = 1/8.)
Figure 3: Two eighth-notes and the corresponding beat-list.

In the third beat of the example in Figure 1 above, the beat-list is (1 (2 1)). The beat will last one quarter-note (beat-count = 1). As the sum of the rtm-list is 3, the sub-unit is 1/3 of the beat. The length of the rtm-list is 2. The length of the first note is 2/3 (2 * 1/3) of the beat, that of the second note 1/3 (1 * 1/3). Thus, the first note is a "sixth-note" (1/4 * 2/3), the second a "twelfth-note" (1/4 * 1/3).

In the fourth beat of Figure 1 the rtm-list is (1 2), as opposed to the (2 1) of the previous beat. Consequently, the length of the first note will be 1/3 of the beat, that of the second note 2/3.

2.2 Simple Rhythms

The examples below (Figures 4 - 6) show beat-list representations describing rhythms with different sub-units. The sub-units range from 1/4 to 1/6:

Figure 4: sub-unit = 1/4.

Figure 5: sub-unit = 1/5.

Figure 6: sub-unit = 1/6.
Figure 7 gives an example with beat-count 2. Hence, each beat gets two units (2 * quarter-note) of the basic pulse:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{3}{2} & \quad \frac{5}{4} \\
(2 & \ (1 \ 1)) \\
(2 \ (2 & \ 1) ) \\
(2 \ (5 & ) )
\end{align*}
\]

\textit{Figure 7: beat-count} = 2.

### 2.3 Embedded Rhythms

Until now all the rtm-lists have been simple lists, that is, only one level deep. To be able to represent embedded rhythms, we add more levels to the rtm-list. In Figure 8, the top example starts with a simple eat-list \((1 \ (1 \ 1))\). Then, in the right-hand side of the top example we replace the second 1 of the rtm-list with a eat-list: \((1 \ (1 \ (1 \ 1)))\). The second example starts with a eat-list: \((2 \ (1 \ 1))\). We replace each 1 of the rtm-list with \((1 \ (1 \ 1 \ 1))\).

\[
\begin{align*}
\left(1 & \ (1 \ 1)\right) \\
\left(1 & \ (1 \ (1 \ 1))\right) \\
\left(1 & \ (1 \ (1 \ (1 \ 1)))\right)
\end{align*}
\]

\textit{Figure 8: The construction of embedded rhythms.}

### 2.4 Compound Rhythms

In compound rhythms the grouping of notes is controlled by the beat-count. In Figure 9 the time signature is 8/8 and the grouping is 3 + 3 + 2:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{3}{2} & \quad \frac{5}{4} \\
(2 & \ (1 \ 1 \ 1)) \\
(2 & \ (1 \ (1 \ 1 \ 1)) \ (1 \ (1 \ 1 \ 1)) \ (1 \ (1 \ 1 \ 1)) )
\end{align*}
\]

\textit{Figure 9: Compound rhythms.}

### 2.5 Rests and Tied Notes

Until now, all the rtm-list examples contained only positive integers. To represent rests and tied notes, we allow the numbers inside the rtm-list to be negative numbers or floats. If a number in a rtm-list is negative, it is considered a rest. If it is a float, it represents a tied note. The rhythm in Figure 10 shows a combination of note-heads, rests and tied notes:

\[
\begin{align*}
\left(1 & \ (1 \ 1 \ 1)\right) \\
\left(1 & \ (1 \ 1)\right) \\
\left(1 & \ (2.0 \ -1 \ 1)\right) \\
\left(1 & \ (1.0 \ -2 \ 1)\right)
\end{align*}
\]

\textit{Figure 10: A combination of note-heads, rests and tied notes.}
3 ENP-score-notation in PWGL

In this section we present a new and enriched list notation called \textit{ENP-score-notation}. We describe how the ENP-score-notation differs from the RTM-notation presented above. ENP-score-notation is based on some important concepts of RTM-notation, such as the hierarchical PW beat-list. For several reasons, this approach was adapted also in ENP. First, we wanted to ensure backwards compatibility between PW music editors and those of PWGL. Second, the list representation is uniform and proven to be powerful in practical use. And third, it was easy to extend the expressiveness of the syntax, as required by ENP, without sacrificing backwards compatibility.

In ENP the musical structures of PW have been modified and extended to add new functionalities and hierarchies (Kuuskankare and Laurson 2001). Thus, also the ENP-score-notation is enriched to reflect these changes. The starting point in the current implementation is to use the PW beat-list representation. Using the notation given above it is possible to create complex beat structures. To create higher-level structures (i.e., measures, voices, parts, scores) we collect lower-level structures as lists. For instance, a measure consists of a list of beats. The measures, in turn, can be used to build even higher-level structures.

3.1 ENP Score Structure

An ENP score is built out of hierarchical object structures. Thus a score consists of a list of parts, a part consists of a list of voices, a voice consists of a list of measures and finally a measure consists of a list of beats. For example we can create a score out of the following list representation (note that both measures consist of beat-lists as described in Section 2).

\begin{verbatim}
(score
  (part1
    (voice1
      ((1 (1)) (1 (1 1)) (1 (2 1)))
      ((1 (1 2 2)) (1 (1 2)) (2 (-1 2 1)))
    )
  )
)
\end{verbatim}

Figure 11 shows in practice how the previous ENP-score-notation example is used in PWGL to build scores:

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure11.png}
\caption{A PWGL patch constructing scores.}
\end{figure}

3.2 Keyword Extensions

The basic ENP-score-notation system described until now can be augmented by inserting keywords inside the list structure. This allows to define more precisely the resulting score. A typical example is for instance a measure that should have knowledge of its time signature in...
order to work properly. If no information is given then default values are used. For instance in the example of Figure 11, the default denominator value of the time signature (or :low) is a quarter-note or 4 (the nominator value is calculated automatically using the beat-count values of the beat-lists), the default pitch value (or :midi) of a note is middle-C or 60, and so on. Typically the used keywords are slot-names of the resulting CLOS objects. This feature is useful as it allows to add new slots in the existing musical objects without having to modify the source code that takes care of the translation of the ENP-score-notation to an ENP score.

Each level of the ENP score structure has its own set of keywords. For instance, following keywords can be used: :instrument, :staff, :clef-number, :low, :metronome-value, :start-time, :notes, :expressions, :midi, :velocity and :channel.

Here are some ENP-score-notation examples dealing with various keywords accompanied with the resulting score (Figures 12 - 14):

```
(:instrument "Piano" :staff piano-staff
 (:low 8 :metronome-value 72
  (1 (1 1 1)) (1 (1 (1 :notes ((60 :clef-number 0) (60 :clef-number 1)))))))))
```

![Figure 12: A score with keywords for instrument, staff, time signature, clef-number and metronome.](image)

```
(((((4 ((1 :notes (72 64)) (1 :notes (72 65)) (1 :notes (72 67)) (1 :notes (71 65)))))
 (((4 (2 (2 :notes (62)))))

partl 1
```

![Figure 13: A two-voice part example with pitch information.](image)

```
(:Title "Duo" :spacing 0.7
 (:instrument "Flute"
  (((1 (((1 :notes (72)))))
   (1 (1 :notes (72) :expressions (:staccato)))
   (1 :notes (74) :expressions (:staccato)))
  (1 :notes (76) :expressions (:staccato)))
  (1 :notes (77) :expressions (:staccato))
  (1 :notes (78) :expressions (:staccato))
  (3 (1 :notes (79) :expressions (:staccato)) :grace-beat)
  (3 ((1 :notes (79) :expressions (:trillo)))))))

(:instrument "Violoncello" :staff bass-staff
 (((1 (1 :notes (36 43) :expressions (:accent :f))))
  (3 ((1 :notes (52 60) :expressions (:accent)))))))
```
### 3.3 Special Extensions

The PWGL ENP-score-notation system allows also to give extra information that defines the type of a beat-object. One example of these special structures is the grace-beat. Grace-beats are used to define grace-chords or grace-notes in an ENP score. An example of this can be found in Figure 14 where the upper part contains an ascending grace-note sequence. Grace-beats are given by inserting at the end of the beat-list definition the symbol `grace-beat`.

The following expression:

```
(((1 (1 1) 'grace-beat) (1 (1 1 1)) (1 ((1 (1 1)) (1 (1 1 1) 'grace-beat) (1 (1))))))
```

is as a result the score that can be seen in Figure 15:

![Figure 15: An example with two grace-beats.](image)

A similar extension allows to create accelerando- or ritardando-beats. The following example shows how to define these special beats (see also Figure 16):

```
(:spacing 0.33 :zoom 2.0 :pan-y -55.0
 (((1 (1 1 1 1 1 1 1) 'accelerando-beat)
 (1 (1 1 1 1 1 1 1) 'ritardando-beat))))
```

![Figure 16: An example containing one accelerando-beat and one ritardando-beat.](image)

### 3.4 Group-expressions

ENP expressions can be applied to a single note or chord or to a group of notes or chords. We have already seen several examples of the former expression type. This section discusses the latter case which is called a group-expression. Group-expressions allow overlaps freely in the score. They are interesting for our study as they do not obey the strict metric hierarchy imposed by the beat-list and measure notation. Thus we need a special syntax to generate them in a metric context.

Group-expressions can be inserted to a chord using an appropriate keyword to define the class and instance identity of the expression in question. The keyword consists of two parts. It begins with an ENP class-name (e.g., 'group', 'slur', 'bpf', etc.). In addition, there can be an optional numeral that defines a group identity. This numeral is used to distinguish between different instances of group-expressions. Thus, `:group1` would denote an
ENP o ject o lass 'roup' with identity value '1'. In this case, all sequent references to 'roup' would point to the same instance.

Furthermore, when an expression is introduced for the first time, any number of initialization-keywords are supplied. In this case, the expression is given as a list consisting of the expression keyword and the required initialization-keyword/value pairs, for example: (:roup1 :print-symbol "M1"). Below we find an example with three group-expressions:

(((1 :notes (73) :expressions (:slur1))
  (1 :notes (75) :expressions (:slur1 (:group1 :print-symbol "M1")))
  (1 :notes (76) :expressions (:slur1 :group1))
  (1 :notes (78) :expressions (:slur1 :group1 (:group2 :print-symbol "M2")))
)(1 ((1 :notes (74) :expressions (:slur1 :group1 :group2))
  (1 :notes (76) :expressions (:slur1 :group1 :group2))
  (1 :notes (77) :expressions (:slur1 :group1 :group2))
  (1 :notes (79) :expressions (:slur1 :group1 :group2))))

Figure 17: Group-expressions example containing a slur and two overlapping groups called 'M1' and 'M2'.

4 Score example

We end with a complex ENP-score-notation example which combines several features described in the previous subsections. The resulting score is found in Figure 18.

(((2 ((1 :notes (52) :expressions ((:slur1 :slope -6.0) :pp)))
  (1 ((1.0 :notes (52) :expressions (:slur1))
    (1 :notes ((58 :enharmonic 1)) :expressions (:slur1))
    (1 :notes (59) :expressions (:slur1))
    (1 :notes (62) :expressions (:slur1))))
  (1 ((1 :notes ((63 :enharmonic 1)) :expressions (:crescendo1))
    (1 :notes (65) :expressions (:crescendo1))
    (1 :notes ((66 :enharmonic 1)) :expressions (:crescendo1))
    (1 :notes (68 :enharmonic 1)) :expressions (:crescendo1))
  (1 :notes (69) :expressions (:crescendo1))))
  (1 ((1 :notes (72)) :expressions (:slur2 :f))
  (1 :notes ((73 :enharmonic 1)) :expressions (:slur2 :x-offset 1.0)
  (6 :notes (79) :expressions (:fp :crescendo2 :x-offset 2.0))))
((1 ((1.0 :notes (79)) :expressions (:crescendo2)
  (1 :notes (72) :expressions (:fz)))))
(2 (-1 (1 :notes ((72 :note-head :x)) :expressions
    (:accent-grave :ff (:group1 :print-symbol "slap" :expression-fore-color 0)))
  (1 :notes ((69 :note-head :x)) :expressions (:accent-grave :group1))
  (1 :notes (73 :note-head :x)) :expressions (:accent-grave :group1)
  (1 :notes (68 :note-head :x)) :expressions (:accent-grave :group1)
  (1 :notes (66 :note-head :x)) :expressions (:accent-grave :group1)))
  (1 ((1 :notes (77)) :expressions (:glissando1 :fp :crescendo3))
  (1 :notes (80 :note-head :invisible) :x-offset 1.0))
  (1 ((1 :notes (83)) :expressions (:glissando1 :fz :staccato :crescendo3))))))

Figure 18: Complex ENP-score-notation example with several features described in the previous subsections. The resulting score is found in Figure 18.
5 Conclusions
This paper gave an overview of some recent PWGL developments dealing with a novel score representation called ENP-score-notation. We first introduced the PW beat-list protocol which is used to construct metric structures. After this we augmented the beat-list scheme with keywords and special extensions in order to build scores containing parts, voices, measures, chords and notes. The system allows also to define additional notational attributes such as expressions, group-expressions and special note-heads.
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